Hypergraph *k*-Cut in Randomized Polynomial Time

Karthekeyan Chandrasekaran, Chao Xu and Xilin Yu
University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Hypergraph and *k*-cut



Hypergraph and *k*-cut



k-cut: edges crossing a *k*-partition of vertices

Equivalently, set of edges whose removal disconnects the hypergraph into at least *k* components

Hypergraph and *k*-cut



k-cut: edges crossing a *k*-partition of vertices

Equivalently, set of edges whose removal disconnects the hypergraph into at least *k* components

Hypergraph and *k*-cut



k-cut: edges crossing a *k*-partition of vertices

Equivalently, set of edges whose removal disconnects the hypergraph into at least *k* components

The hypergraph k-cut problem

- Given: Hypergraph $G = (V, E)$
- Output: Minimum cardinality k-cut

Applications of *k*-cut

- Network reliability
- VLSI design
- Clustering
- ...

Previous works on GRAPH k-cut

Previous works on GRAPH k-cut

- Reduction to min st-cut using uncrossing arguments: $n^{\Theta(k^2)}$ [Goldschmidt-Hochbaum 94]

Previous works on GRAPH k-cut

- Reduction to min st-cut using uncrossing arguments: $n^{\Theta(k^2)}$ [Goldschmidt-Hochbaum 94]
- Randomized contraction: $\tilde{O}(n^{2(k-1)})$ [Karger-Stein 96]

Previous works on GRAPH k-cut

- Reduction to min st-cut using uncrossing arguments: $n^{\Theta(k^2)}$ [Goldschmidt-Hochbaum 94]
- Randomized contraction: $\tilde{O}(n^{2(k-1)})$ [Karger-Stein 96]
- Divide and conquer: $O(n^{(4+o(1))k})$ [Kamidoi-Yoshida-Nagamochi 07]
- Divide and conquer: $O(n^{(4-o(1))k})$ [Xiao 08]

Previous works on GRAPH k-cut

- Reduction to min st-cut using uncrossing arguments: $n^{\Theta(k^2)}$ [Goldschmidt-Hochbaum 94]
- Randomized contraction: $\tilde{O}(n^{2(k-1)})$ [Karger-Stein 96]
- Divide and conquer: $O(n^{(4+o(1))k})$ [Kamidoi-Yoshida-Nagamochi 07]
- Divide and conquer: $O(n^{(4-o(1))k})$ [Xiao 08]
- Tree packing: $\tilde{O}(n^{2k})$ [Thorup 08]

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

- $k = 2$, the hypergraph min-cut problem:

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

- $k = 2$, the hypergraph min-cut problem:
  - Bipartite representation and max flow

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

- $k = 2$, the hypergraph min-cut problem:
  - Bipartite representation and max flow
  - Vertex ordering [Klimmek-Wagner 96, Queyranne 98, Mak-Wong 00]

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

- $k = 2$, the hypergraph min-cut problem:
  - Bipartite representation and max flow
  - Vertex ordering [Klimmek-Wagner 96, Queyranne 98, Mak-Wong 00]
  - Randomized contraction [Ghaffari-Karger-Panigrahi 17]

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

- $k = 2$, the hypergraph min-cut problem:
  - Bipartite representation and max flow
  - Vertex ordering [Klimmek-Wagner 96, Queyranne 98, Mak-Wong 00]
  - Randomized contraction [Ghaffari-Karger-Panigrahi 17]
- $k = 3$:

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

- $k = 2$, the hypergraph min-cut problem:
  - Bipartite representation and max flow
  - Vertex ordering [Klimmek-Wagner 96, Queyranne 98, Mak-Wong 00]
  - Randomized contraction [Ghaffari-Karger-Panigrahi 17]
- $k = 3$:
  - Deterministic contraction [Xiao 08]

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

- $k = 2$, the hypergraph min-cut problem:
  - Bipartite representation and max flow
  - Vertex ordering [Klimmek-Wagner 96, Queyranne 98, Mak-Wong 00]
  - Randomized contraction [Ghaffari-Karger-Panigrahi 17]
- $k = 3$:
  - Deterministic contraction [Xiao 08]
- Constant rank: Hypertree packing [Fukunaga 10]
  (Rank of a hypergraph: size of the largest hyperedge)

Previous works on HYPERGRAPH k-cut

- $k = 2$, the hypergraph min-cut problem:
  - Bipartite representation and max flow
  - Vertex ordering [Klimmek-Wagner 96, Queyranne 98, Mak-Wong 00]
  - Randomized contraction [Ghaffari-Karger-Panigrahi 17]
- $k = 3$:
  - Deterministic contraction [Xiao 08]
- Constant rank: Hypertree packing [Fukunaga 10]

  (Rank of a hypergraph: size of the largest hyperedge)

Hypergraph k-cut for $k \geq 4$ in arbitrary rank hypergraphs?

Our result

Theorem

There exists a randomized polynomial time algorithm to solve the hypergraph k-cut problem.

$k = 2$: Hypergraph cut (arbitrary rank)

Contractions in hypergraphs



Contractions in hypergraphs



Edges in all cuts should not be contracted



Uniform probability contraction



Uniform probability contraction



- Large probability of failure in a single step

Uniform probability contraction



- Large probability of failure in a single step
- Destroys the min-cut with 1/2 probability

Uniform probability contraction



- Large probability of failure in a single step
- Destroys the min-cut with 1/2 probability
- 1/2 probability of success

Uniform probability contraction



- Large probability of failure in a single step
- Destroys the min-cut with 1/2 probability
- 1/2 probability of success
- Unclear how to analyze

Our algorithm for hypergraph cut

Dampening factor:

$$\delta_e := \Pr_{v \sim V}(v \notin e) = \frac{n - |e|}{n}$$

Our algorithm for hypergraph cut

Dampening factor:

$$\delta_e := \Pr_{v \sim V}(v \notin e) = \frac{n - |e|}{n}$$

Input: Hypergraph G

While there are more than 4 vertices in G:

1. If $\sum_{e \in E} \delta_e = 0$, return E
2. Dampened sampling: Pick $e \in E$ with probability $p_e := \frac{\delta_e}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f}$
3. $G \leftarrow G/e$

Return a random min-cut in G by brute force

Analysis: Success probability

$$q_n := \min_{\substack{C^* \in OPT(G) \\ n \text{ node} \\ \text{hypergraph} G}} \Pr(\text{Algorithm returns } C^* \text{ on input } G)$$

Will show: $q_n \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ by induction

Analysis: Success probability

$$q_n := \min_{\substack{C^* \in OPT(G) \\ n \text{ node} \\ \text{hypergraph} G}} \Pr(\text{Algorithm returns } C^* \text{ on input } G)$$

Will show: $q_n \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ by induction

$$q_n \geq \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} p_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

px px

Analysis: Success probability

$$q_n := \min_{\substack{C^* \in OPT(G) \\ n \text{ node} \\ \text{hypergraph} G}} \Pr(\text{Algorithm returns } C^* \text{ on input } G)$$

Will show: $q_n \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ by induction

$$q_n \geq \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} p_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \frac{\delta_e}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

px px

Analysis: Success probability

$$q_n := \min_{\substack{C^* \in OPT(G) \\ n \text{ node} \\ \text{hypergraph} G}} \Pr(\text{Algorithm returns } C^* \text{ on input } G)$$

Will show: $q_n \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ by induction

$$q_n \geq \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} p_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \frac{\delta_e}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

px px

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

Analysis: Success probability

$$q_n := \min_{\substack{C^* \in OPT(G) \\ n \text{ node} \\ \text{hypergraph} G}} \Pr(\text{Algorithm returns } C^* \text{ on input } G)$$

Will show: $q_n \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ by induction

$$q_n \geq \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} p_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \frac{\delta_e}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

px

px

For $n > 4$ and $n - |e| + 1 \geq 2$,

$$\delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1} \geq \left(\frac{n-|e|}{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\binom{n-|e|+1}{2}}\right)$$

Analysis: Success probability

$$q_n := \min_{\substack{C^* \in OPT(G) \\ n \text{ node} \\ \text{hypergraph} G}} \Pr(\text{Algorithm returns } C^* \text{ on input } G)$$

Will show: $q_n \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ by induction

$$q_n \geq \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} p_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \frac{\delta_e}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

px

px

For $n > 4$ and $n - |e| + 1 \geq 2$,

$$\delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1} \geq \left(\frac{n-|e|}{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\binom{n-|e|+1}{2}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n(n-|e|+1)}$$

Analysis: Success probability

$$q_n := \min_{\substack{C^* \in OPT(G) \\ n \text{ node} \\ \text{hypergraph} G}} \Pr(\text{Algorithm returns } C^* \text{ on input } G)$$

Will show: $q_n \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ by induction

$$q_n \geq \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} p_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \frac{\delta_e}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

px

px

For $n > 4$ and $n - |e| + 1 \geq 2$,

$$\delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1} \geq \left(\frac{n-|e|}{n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\binom{n-|e|+1}{2}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n(n-|e|+1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$$

Analysis: Success probability

$$q_n := \min_{\substack{C^* \in OPT(G) \\ n \text{ node} \\ \text{hypergraph} G}} \Pr(\text{Algorithm returns } C^* \text{ on input } G)$$

Will show: $q_n \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$ by induction

$$q_n \geq \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} p_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \frac{\delta_e}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \sum_{e \in E \setminus C^*} \delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{|E \setminus C^*|}{\sum_f \delta_f} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \right)$$

px px

For $n > 4$ and $n - |e| + 1 \geq 2$,

$$\delta_e \cdot q_{n-|e|+1} \geq \left(\frac{n - |e|}{n} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\binom{n-|e|+1}{2}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{n(n - |e| + 1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$$

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$

We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$

We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

Sample a vertex v from V uniformly

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$



We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

Sample a vertex v from V uniformly

F_v be the edges containing v, i.e., the v isolating cut

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$



We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

Sample a vertex v from V uniformly

F_v be the edges containing v, i.e., the v isolating cut

$$|C^*| \leq \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{v \sim V}(|F_v|)$$

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$



We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

Sample a vertex v from V uniformly

F_v be the edges containing v, i.e., the v isolating cut

$$|C^*| \leq \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{v \sim V}(|F_v|) = \sum_{e \in E} \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \in F_v)$$

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$



We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

Sample a vertex v from V uniformly

F_v be the edges containing v, i.e., the v isolating cut

$$|C^*| \leq \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{v \sim V}(|F_v|) = \sum_{e \in E} \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \in F_v) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(1 - \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \notin F_v)\right)$$

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$



We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

Sample a vertex v from V uniformly

F_v be the edges containing v, i.e., the v isolating cut

$$|C^*| \leq \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{v \sim V}(|F_v|) = \sum_{e \in E} \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \in F_v) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(1 - \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \notin F_v)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E} \left(1 - \Pr_{v \sim V}(v \notin e)\right)$$

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$



We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

Sample a vertex v from V uniformly

F_v be the edges containing v, i.e., the v isolating cut

$$|C^*| \leq \mathbb{E}_{v \sim V}(|F_v|) = \sum_{e \in E} \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \in F_v) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(1 - \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \notin F_v)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E} \left(1 - \Pr_{v \sim V}(v \notin e)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{e \in E} (1 - \delta_e)$$

To show:

$$\frac{|E| - |C^*|}{\sum_{f \in E} \delta_f} \geq 1$$



We show $|C^*| \leq |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f$

Sample a vertex v from V uniformly

F_v be the edges containing v, i.e., the v isolating cut

$$\begin{aligned}
|C^*| \leq \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{v \sim V}(|F_v|) = \sum_{e \in E} \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \in F_v) &= \sum_{e \in E} \left(1 - \Pr_{v \sim V}(e \notin F_v)\right) \\
&= \sum_{e \in E} \left(1 - \Pr_{v \sim V}(v \notin e)\right) \\
&= \sum_{e \in E} (1 - \delta_e) \\
&= |E| - \sum_{f \in E} \delta_f
\end{aligned}$$

Result

Theorem

The probability that the algorithm returns a particular min-cut is $\frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$*. Repeat it* $O(n^2 \log n)$ *times to obtain a min-cut with high probability.*

The algorithm for hypergraph k-cut

Similar algorithm as hypergraph cut, but different dampening.

$$\delta_e := \Pr_{S \sim \binom{V}{k-1}} (S \cap e = \emptyset) = \frac{\binom{n-|e|}{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}}$$

The algorithm for hypergraph k-cut

Similar algorithm as hypergraph cut, but different dampening.

$$\delta_e := \Pr_{S \sim \binom{V}{k-1}} (S \cap e = \emptyset) = \frac{\binom{n-|e|}{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}}$$

Theorem

The probability that the algorithm returns a particular min-k-cut is $\Omega(\frac{1}{n^{2(k-1)}})$.

The algorithm for hypergraph k-cut

Similar algorithm as hypergraph cut, but different dampening.

$$\delta_e := \Pr_{S \sim \binom{V}{k-1}} (S \cap e = \emptyset) = \frac{\binom{n-|e|}{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k-1}}$$

Theorem

The probability that the algorithm returns a particular min-k-cut is $\Omega(\frac{1}{n^{2(k-1)}})$.

Corollary of our algorithm and analysis

The number of min-k-cuts is $O(n^{2(k-1)})$.

Additional Results: Hedgegraphs

Hedgegraphs



Hedgegraphs



- A hedge is a collection of edges

Hedgegraphs



- A hedge is a collection of edges
- A hedgegraph consists of vertices V and a set of hedges on V

Hedgegraphs



- A hedge is a collection of edges
- A hedgegraph consists of vertices *V* and a set of hedges on *V*
- The underlying graph of a hedgegraph is the union of its hedges

Hedgegraphs



- A hedge is a collection of edges
- A hedgegraph consists of vertices V and a set of hedges on V
- The underlying graph of a hedgegraph is the union of its hedges
- Motivation: dependent edge failures

Hedgegraphs



- A hedge is a collection of edges
- A hedgegraph consists of vertices V and a set of hedges on V
- The underlying graph of a hedgegraph is the union of its hedges
- Motivation: dependent edge failures
- Applications: layered networks, supply chain networks, . . .

Hedges



Span



The span of a hedge the number of components induced by a hedge

Span



Span of the blue hedge is 2

Additional results

- Poly-time algorithm for k-cut in constant span hedgegraphs (Hypergraphs are equivalent to hedgegraphs with span 1 [Ghaffari-Karger-Panigrahi 17])
- PTAS for k-cut in arbitrary span hedgegraphs

Additional results

- Poly-time algorithm for k-cut in constant span hedgegraphs (Hypergraphs are equivalent to hedgegraphs with span 1 [Ghaffari-Karger-Panigrahi 17])
- PTAS for k-cut in arbitrary span hedgegraphs

Thank You!